

Jens C. Türp
 Asbjørn Jokstad
 Edith Motschall
 Hans J. Schindler
 Isabelle Windecker-Gétaz
 Dominik A. Ettlin

SSRD 3: is there a superiority of multimodal as opposed to simple therapy in patients with temporomandibular disorders? A qualitative systematic review of the literature

Authors' affiliations:

Jens C. Türp, Clinic for Reconstructive Dentistry and Temporomandibular Disorders, Dental School, University of Basel, Basel, Switzerland
 Asbjørn Jokstad, Department of Prosthodontics, University of Toronto Faculty of Dentistry, Toronto, Canada

Edith Motschall, Institute for Medical Biometry and Medical Informatics, University Hospital, Freiburg, Germany

Hans J. Schindler, Research Group Biomechanics, Faculty for Mathematics, University of Karlsruhe, Karlsruhe, Germany

Isabelle Windecker-Gétaz, Department of Prosthodontics, University of Berne School of Dental Medicine, Berne, Switzerland

Dominik A. Ettlin, Clinic for Masticatory Disorders, Removable Prosthodontics, Geriatrics, and Special Care Dentistry, Center for Dental and Oral Medicine, University of Zurich, Zurich, Switzerland

Correspondence to:

Prof. Dr Jens C. Türp
 Klinik für Prothetik und Kaufunktionslehre
 Universitätskliniken für Zahnmedizin
 Hebelstrasse 3
 CH-4056 Basel
 Switzerland
 Tel.: +41 61 267 2631
 Fax: +41 61 267 2660
 e-mail: jens.tuerp@unibas.ch

To cite this article:

Türp JC, Jokstad A, Motschall E, Schindler HJ, Windecker-Gétaz I, Ettlin DA. SSRD 3: Is there a superiority of multimodal as opposed to simple therapy in patients with temporomandibular disorders? A qualitative systematic review of the literature.

Clin. Oral Impl. Res.
 doi: 10.1111/j.1600-0501.2007.01480.x

Key words: craniomandibular disorders, randomized-controlled trial, systematic review, temporomandibular pain, therapy

Abstract

Background: Pain is the most common motivation for patients with temporomandibular disorders (TMDs) to seek care. Therapeutic options range from patient education to joint surgery.

Objectives: To conduct a systematic review of articles reporting on simple and multimodal management strategies in TMD patients. 'Simple therapy' was defined as care provided by a dentist, without using technical dental interventions, whereas 'multimodal' refers to at least two different modalities. We followed the null hypothesis of no difference between these two approaches.

Material and methods: A systematic search was carried out in the following databases: Ovid® Medline (1966–2006), Cochrane Library (Issue 3/2006), and Science Citation Index (1945–2006). Subsequently, the reference lists of the identified articles were searched to find additional pertinent publications. We divided the study reports according to the main presenting symptom: (1) disc displacement without reduction, with pain; (2) TMD pain, without major psychological symptoms; and (3) TMD pain, with major psychological symptoms.

Results: Eleven articles representing nine different clinical studies were identified. (1) In the disc displacement group with pain, multimodal therapy was not superior to explanation and advice. (2) A combination of occlusal appliance and biofeedback-assisted relaxation/stress management was not significantly superior to either of these therapies after 6 months. Furthermore, brief information alone or combined with relaxation training or occlusal appliance, respectively, were equally efficacious at the 6-month follow-up. There was no superiority of multimodal therapy including splints as compared with simple care. A slightly better outcome was reported for a combination of education and home physical therapy regimen than for patient education alone. (3) In temporomandibular pain patients with major psychological disturbances, patients benefited more from a combined therapeutic approach compared with simple care.

Conclusion: Current research suggests that individuals without major psychological symptoms do not require more than simple therapy. In contrast, patients with major psychological involvement need multimodal, interdisciplinary therapeutic strategies. The clinician's acceptance of the importance of psychological factors in TMD pain forms the platform for convincingly educating patients about the need for multimodal management.

Temporomandibular disorders (TMDs) encompass a heterogeneous collection of non-malignant, non-systemic musculoskeletal

conditions that affect the masticatory muscles and/or the temporomandibular joints as well as contiguous tissue components

(National Institutes of Health 1996). A psychological component is invariably part of painful TMDs, which is why current research diagnostic criteria for TMD include psychometric assessments (Dworkin & LeResche 1992). Typical complaints reported by TMD patients are pain in the masticatory muscles and/or the preauricular area, particularly during mandibular movements; stiffness in the masticatory muscles; and limitations of mandibular movements, such as jaw opening and temporomandibular sounds (clicking, popping, or grating noises). Psychological symptoms are less likely spontaneously reported by patients; therefore, they need to be carefully assessed. In some cases, this requires a team approach.

Of the clinical problems commonly associated with TMDs, temporomandibular pain (the term 'temporomandibular pain' embraces masticatory muscle pain and temporomandibular joint pain; synonyms for 'temporomandibular pain' are 'temporomandibular disorder pain', 'TMD pain', and 'TMD-related pain') is the most typical and most salient symptom (Türp 2000). It has been reported that around 97% of TMD patients who seek care do so because of pain (Dworkin et al. 1990), with pain severity and recency of pain onset being the most significant predictors of care-seeking (Von Korff et al. 1991). The great majority of those seeking care for temporomandibular pain are women in the child-bearing age (Howard 1991). Although the most common single diagnosis is myofascial pain (Vollaro et al. 2001; Rantala et al. 2003; Yap et al. 2003; Plesh et al. 2005), patients typically present with a combination of myogenous pain and temporomandibular joint (TMJ)-related symptoms (Truelove et al. 2006).

It is widely accepted that approximately 75–95% of acute TMD patients will markedly improve (Stohler 2004). Reasons discussed are adaptive processes on biological and psychological levels as well as regression to the mean (Whitney & Von Korff 1992). The latter is a statistical concept that is used to describe the phenomenon that a group of people pre-selected for scoring above the mean on a measure (e.g. pain levels) are likely to score lower on average, purely by chance, if the measurement is repeated (Morton & Torgerson 2003).

Nonetheless, in a subgroup of patients the symptoms persist. Despite various efforts to establish guidelines for effective approaches to the management (and, if possible, treatment) of patients afflicted by TMDs (e.g., Griffiths 1983; McNeill 1992; National Institutes of Health 1996; Laskin et al. 2006), therapeutic care for chronic cases remains a challenging task.

There are indications that failure of therapy may be due to lack of taking into account specific tissue pathologies (TMD subgroups), individual patient characteristics (psychosocial and behavioral aspects), and/or comorbidities (depression, anxiety, etc.) (Rudy et al. 1995; Suvinen et al. 2005; Vlaeyen & Morley 2005). Hence, it is conceivable that a combination of different therapeutic approaches may lead to a more favorable outcome than simple therapeutic modalities. This assumption is supported by a retrospective study that found that for masticatory myalgia, 'a combined treatment approach resulted in a better outcome than single treatments' (Vallon et al. 1998).

In order to clarify this clinically relevant issue, we carried out a systematic search of the literature to identify pertinent articles of randomized-controlled clinical trials related to the following null hypothesis: there is no difference regarding multimodal as compared with simple therapy in TMD patients.

Material and methods

Search strategy

An electronic search of the dental/medical literature was carried out in Ovid Medline® covering the time span starting from 1966 (last update: October 2, 2006). The search strategies are displayed in Tables 1 and 2.

Furthermore, searches in the Cochrane Library (Issue 3/2006; October 2, 2006) and in the Science Citation Index (1945–2006, accessed via Web of Science) were performed (October 5, 2006) (Tables 3 and 4).

Types of publication

Only randomized-controlled trials (RCTs) in which simple therapy was compared with multimodal interventions for more than 4 weeks were considered. By using the term 'simple' (as differentiated from 'single') therapy, we defined care provided by the clinician (e.g., brief information,

self-care instructions, home remedies, such as thermal packs and over-the-counter drugs), without using technical dental interventions. 'Multimodal' refers to at least two different modalities, e.g., an intraoral appliance plus relaxation training.

For inclusion, no distinction was made between muscle pain (without or with limited jaw opening), arthralgia/osteoarthritis, and/or disc displacements with or without reduction upon jaw opening. Where outcomes of different time points were reported, the last assessment result was extracted.

Studies focusing on the management of postarthroscopy TMJ pain were not considered, as this is not pain associated with TMDs.

There were no language restrictions.

Additional searches

Cited reference searches were carried out in PubMed and Web of Science, using the names of the authors identified in the articles relevant to our clinical question.

Furthermore, the reference lists of the identified articles were searched to find additional relevant publications.

The key features reported in the identified publications are summarized in tables.

Results

Identified articles

The electronic search yielded nine contributions, eight of which were found in Ovid Medline®. The search in the Cochrane Library (Central) revealed one additional article, and the search in the Science Citation Index identified one additional publication. The search in the reference lists of the identified publications yielded one additional article (Table 5). In summary, 11 articles fulfilling inclusion criteria were identified. One paper was published in Italian (Michelotti et al. 2000), and the remaining 10 in English.

Characteristics

The 11 articles represent nine different RCTs. Minakuchi et al. (2001, 2004) appear to present identical data in their two articles. Another group reported preliminary results (Michelotti et al. 2000) before their final study report (Michelotti et al. 2004). The paper by Elsharkawy et al

Table 1. Search strategy in Ovid Medline

#	Search
1	(temporomandibular adj3 disorder).mp. [mp = title, original title, abstract, name of substance word, subject heading word]
2	Myoarthropath:.mp.
3	exp Craniomandibular Disorders/pc, dt, rh, su, th [Prevention & Control, Drug Therapy, Rehabilitation, Surgery, Therapy]
4	randomized-controlled trial.pt. (pt = Publication Type)
5	exp Combined Modality Therapy/
6	drug therapy/or drug therapy, combination/
7	placebos/
8	((Single or simple) adj10 (combin: or multimodal: or multi modal:)).mp.
9	.xs.
	<i>xs = exploded subheading. Includes therapy, diet therapy, drug therapy, nursing, prevention & control, radiotherapy, rehabilitation, surgery, transplantation</i>
10	or/5–9 (note: combination of terms related to therapy)
11	1 or 2
	<i>Note: combination of textwords to 'temporomandibular joint disorders'. ('TMD' was not used because after combination only irrelevant hits are yielded)</i>
12	11 and 10
	<i>Note: Textwords related to temporomandibular disorders AND terms related to therapy</i>
13	3 or 12
14	4 and 13
	<i>Note: Combination with publication type RCT</i>
	Search for meta-analyses and systematic reviews:
15	meta-analysis.pt.
16	(Systematic adj2 (review or overview)).mp.
17	15 or 16
18	13 and 17
	<i>exp = Explode MeSH (incl. narrower terms).</i>
	<i>/ = Medical Subject Heading (MeSH).</i>
	<i>adj3 = words in either order between 3 words.</i>
	<i>: = truncation.</i>
	<i>mp = title, original title, abstract, name of substance word, subject heading word.</i>
	<i>.xs. = subheading incl. narrower subheadings.</i>

Table 2. Search strategy in Ovid Medline® In-Process & Other Non-Indexed Citations

#	Search
1	(temporomandibular adj3 disorder).mp. [mp = title, original title, abstract, name of substance word]
2	myoarthropath:.mp. [mp = title, original title, abstract, name of substance word]
3	((single or simple) adj10 (combin: or multimodal: or multi modal:)).mp.
4	1 or 2
5	4 and rando:.mp. [mp = title, original title, abstract, name of substance word]
6	4 and 3 (note: not citations were retrieved with this combination of terms)
7	((doubl: or singl:) adj5 (blind: or mask:)).mp. [mp = title, original title, abstract, name of substance word]
8	4 and 7
9	5 or 8
10	(systematic adj2 (review or overview)).mp.
11	meta:.mp.
12	10 or 11
13	4 and 12

Q2

(1995) is characterized by some deficiencies of reporting quality (no clear description of methodology; no clear link between described methods and results), which makes the interpretation of the presented results difficult.

Clinical features

Owing to the heterogeneity regarding the TMD-related topics and the study design, including the outcome parameters, a quan-

titative analysis of the results of all identified articles was impossible. Instead, the study reports were analyzed separately and summarized qualitatively. The characteristics of the studies are summarized in Table 6.

It was not until the early 1990s that clinical researchers began to develop standardized instruments to differentiate various grades of psychological involvement. Therefore, according to the level of psycho-

logical disturbance and the main presenting symptom, we distinguished three groups:

- (1) disc displacement without reduction *with pain, without major psychological symptoms;*
- (2) TMD pain, *without major psychological symptoms; and*
- (3) TMD pain, *with major psychological symptoms.*

Table 3. Search strategy in the Cochrane Library (Issue 3/2006)

#	Search
1	(temporomandibular near disorder [*]):ti,ab,kw
2	(myoarthropath [*]):ti, ab, kw
3	MeSH descriptor Craniomandibular Disorders explode all trees
4	(#1 OR #2 OR #3)

Table 4. Search strategy in the Science Citation Index

#	Search
1	TS = ((temporomandibul [*] OR myoarthropath [*]) AND (therap [*] OR treat [*] OR multimodal [*] or multimodal [*] OR combin [*] OR singl [*] OR simpl [*] OR placebo [*]) AND (rando [*] OR (systemati [*] AND review [*]) OR metaanaly [*] OR meta-analy [*] OR metanaly [*])) DocType = All document types; Language = All languages; Database = SCI-EXPANDED; Timespan = 1945–2006

Table 5. Total and relevant hits after search in the different sources (after elimination of duplicates)

Source	Results	Relevant hits
Medline and Premedline	184	8: Elsharkawy & Ali (1995), Turk et al. (1996), Michelotti et al. (2000, 2004), Dworkin et al. (2002), Wahlund et al. (2003), Minakuchi et al. (2004), Truelove et al. (2006)
Cochrane Library: CDSR, DARE	7	0
Cochrane Library: Central	204	1: Turk et al. (1993)
Science Citation Index	153	1: Minakuchi et al. (2001)
Reference lists	–	1: Tversky et al. (1991)

(1) In the disc displacement group with pain, Minakuchi et al. (2001) observed that different multimodal therapies (explanation and advice regarding prognosis, diclofenac plus anti-gastric ulcer medication, cold/hot packs, soft food diet, and gentle jaw opening exercises, with or without flat-plane occlusal appliance therapy and jaw mobilization) were not superior to simple explanation and advice.

(2) Five studies were identified reporting on subjects with TMD pain, *without* major psychological symptoms (Turk et al. 1993; Elsharkawy & Ali 1995; Wahlund et al. 2003; Michelotti et al. 2004; Truelove et al. 2006).

Turk et al. (1993) showed that single therapy with either occlusal appliance or biofeedback-assisted relaxation and stress management was less efficacious than the combination of both interventions after 6 months (Turk et al. 1993).

In another study (Wahlund et al. 2003), brief information alone or in combination with relaxation training and occlusal appliance, respectively, were equally efficacious at the 6-month follow-up.

Likewise, Truelove et al. (2006) reported no superiority of multimodal interventions including splint therapy as opposed to patient education and/or self-care or relaxation, respectively.

Electrical stimulation of acupuncture points, combined with soft occlusal appliance, was observed not to be superior to a sham procedure (placebo acupuncture) at the 12-month follow-up (Elsharkawy & Ali 1995).

Michelotti et al. (2004) reported a slightly better outcome for a combination of education and home physical therapy regimen than patient education alone. However, due to an unusually high dropout rate of more than 50% at the last assessment (after 3 months), the findings of the study must be interpreted with caution.

(3) In subjects with temporomandibular pain *and* major psychological disturbances, patients benefited more from a combined therapeutic approach (additional antidepressant medication or cognitive behavioral therapy) compared with a simple approach in all three identified studies (Tversky et al.

1991; Turk et al. 1996; Dworkin et al. 2002).

Discussion

A key feature of TMDs is pain, and pertinent studies commonly use pain as an outcome parameter. It is imperative to conceive pain as a multidimensional human experience with sensory-discriminative, affective-emotional, and cognitive-behavioral aspects (Merskey & Bogduk 1994). This implies that emotional disturbances on a scale from minimal disruption to severe comorbidities are invariably part of painful diseases – particularly in lingering pain conditions such as chronic TMD. As a consequence, effective medical therapy entails consideration of the patient's emotional status (Flor et al. 1992).

By recognizing pain as a multidimensional experience, inclusion of psychological assessment becomes crucial for accurate and comprehensive diagnosis (Palla 2006). This aspect has been addressed in three of the identified studies (e.g., Tversky et al. 1991; Turk et al. 1996; Dworkin et al. 2002).

Intuitively, one would expect that in patients with disc displacement without reduction, which appears to be a predominantly mechanical problem, a 'mechanical' approach would solve the disturbance. However, after 8 weeks, simple therapy has been shown to be equally effective as a multimodal approach (including active jaw mobilization and intraoral appliance therapy) (Minakuchi et al. 2001). This finding has been supported by the landmark study by Fricton et al. (2002), who reported that an invasive approach, i.e., TMJ surgery without and with different types of disc implants (Proplast, temporary or permanent Silastic) was not advantageous over a conservative therapeutic approach.

In summary: for management of patients with painful anterior disc displacement without reduction as well as for patients with TMD pain exhibiting no major psychological disturbances, the null hypothesis as stated above can be accepted, whereas it must be rejected for patients with TMD pain and major psychological disturbances. Hence, individuals without major psychological disturbances do not

Table 6. Characteristics of the studies as reported in the identified articles

Study	Patients	Inclusion criteria	Exclusion criteria	Therapeutic groups (randomized)	n	Study duration
Dworkin et al. (2002)	117 patients referred to the Orofacial Pain Clinics, Department of Oral medicine, University of Washington School of Dentistry, for assessment of TMD pain and related TMD symptoms.	Self-report of facial ache or pain in the muscles of mastication, the TMJ, or the region in front of the ear or inside the ear	Pain attributable to confirmed migraine or head pain other than tension headache	(1) Usual TMD therapy Physiotherapy Patient education Medication	58	4 months of therapy + 12 months of post-intervention
	Mean age: 39.3 years. (group 1)=38.6 years. (group 2)	Dysfunctional chronic pain according to the Graded Chronic Pain Status (Von Korff et al. 1992)]	Acute infection or other significant disease of teeth, ears, eyes, nose, or throat	Intraoral heat-cured flat-plane occlusal appliance	59	months of post-intervention
	F : M 97 : 10	Age between 18 and 70 years	Debilitating physical or mental illness	(2) Comprehensive care (6 sessions + 3 phone calls) Physiotherapy Patient education Medication		
		TMD therapy	Necessity for emergency	Intraoral flat-plane occlusal appliance		
		Inability to speak or write English	Cognitive-behavioral therapy (6 sessions)			

Study	Outcome measures	Instruments	Results	Authors' conclusion	Limitations
Dworkin et al. (2002)	Characteristic pain intensity (CPI)	Graded Chronic Pain Scale (Von Korff et al. 1992)	Comprehensive care group At post-therapy assessment (4 weeks after baseline evaluations) Compared with usual therapy group: Significantly lower levels of CPI (35% vs. 18% decrease) Significantly higher self-reported ability to control TMD pain (40% vs. 20% decrease) Strong trend towards lower pain-related interference in daily activities At 1-year follow-up No significant difference from usual care group	'The 6-session CBT intervention for patients with heightened psychologic and psychosocial disability was effective in improving pain-related variables over the course of the CBT in conjunction with usual treatment, but was too brief an intervention to result in further improvement after the sessions ended. Patient ratings of treatment satisfaction and helpfulness were high for both groups, but they were significantly higher for the comprehensive care group.'	'A limitation of this study is the lack of an experimental condition to control for non-specific effects of the CBT intervention, such as attention and concern from the psychologist. The study design does not allow conclusions concerning the relative role of such effects vs. effects of the cognitive and behavioral components of the CBT program. This was not the goal of the present study, which focused on evaluating the efficacy of the CBT program as it might be generalized to application in clinical settings, rather than on identifying active or necessary and sufficient ingredients.'

Pain interference score	
Chronic pain grade	
Depression and somatization	SCL-90 depression and somatization scales (Derogatis 1983)
Self-reported days in pain during the past 6 months	Graded Chronic Pain Scale (Von Korff et al. 1992)
Self-reported ability to control TMD-related pain	Rosenstiel & Keefe (1983)
Process of care ratings (perceived helpfulness and global satisfaction)	0-10 scales

Table 6. (Continued)

Study	Patients	Inclusion criteria	Exclusion criteria	Therapeutic groups (randomized)	n	Study duration
Eisharkawy & Ali (1995)	100 patients referred to the Oral Surgery Department, Cairo University, for TMD therapy. Mean age: unknown F:M: unknown	Two or more of the following symptoms: TMD pain and tenderness upon palpation Temporalis, masseter, and/or lateral pterygoid, muscle tenderness TMD clicking and jaw locking	Unwillingness of (regular) participation Pain-free disc displacement Epilepsy, vasovagal attacks, vertebral artery insufficiency, and similar medical conditions (2) Occlusal splint Soft bite splint (polyvinyl), worn at night for 8 weeks (3) Acupuncture + occlusal splint (4) Control group Placebo acupuncture	(1) Acupuncture <i>Acuhealth</i> (small hand-held unit which produces minute electrical impulses to stimulate acupuncture points) (8 sessions at 1-week intervals) (2) Occlusal splint Soft bite splint (polyvinyl), worn at night for 8 weeks (3) Acupuncture + occlusal splint (4) Control group Placebo acupuncture	25 (at 12 months: 18) 25 (at 12 months: 17) 25 (at 12 months: 28) 25 (at 12 months: 0)	8 weeks therapy + 12 months post-intervention
Eisharkawy & Ali (1995)	TMD symptoms Pain	Instruments Anamnestic Dysfunction Index (A _d) (Helkimo 1974) verbal rating scale visual analog scale	Results Assessment 3 months after therapy Compared with group (4), significant improvement in groups (1), (2), and (3), but no difference among the three	Authors' conclusion		Limitations
Michelotti et al. (2000, 2004)	70 (49) consecutive myogenous patients seeking therapy and referred to the TMD Center, U of Naples, Italy Mean age: 31.8 years. (group 1)–28.2 years. (group 2) F:M 62:8	Inclusion criteria Recurrent or constant pain for more than 3 months Spontaneous pain > 30/100 VAS in the last week	Exclusion criteria Objective evidence of TMJ pathology or dysfunction Athrogenous TMD with pain or radiographic alterations in the TMJs (Dworkin & LeResche 1992) Other orofacial pain conditions Other TMD therapy within the last 3 months Neurologic or psychiatric disorders History of pain medication abuse or current abuse	Therapeutic groups (randomized) (1) Education Patient education and reassurance General information about self-care of jaw musculature (2) Education + home physical therapy Education (see above) Self-supportive exercise program (including relaxation exercises, self-massage of masticatory muscles, application of moist heat pads, stretching, coordination exercises)	n 23 26	Study duration 3 months therapy

Study	Outcome measures	Instruments	Results	Authors' conclusion	Limitations
Michelotti et al. (2000, 2004)	Spontaneous pain Joint pain, muscle stiffness, functional limitation, pain during chewing of hard food and/or during yawning Presence of pain during active or passive mandibular movements Presence of pain during TMJ traction Pain during muscle palpation Pain during anterior bruxoprovocation Pain during jaw clenching in the intercuspal position for 30 s Pressure pain threshold of masseter and temporal muscles Pain on chewing gum for 60 s Pain-free maximum jaw opening	100-mm VAS Verbal rating scale Verbal rating scale Verbal rating scale Verbal rating scale Verbal rating scale Verbal rating scale Algometer 100-mm VAS Millimeter ruler	Between-group differences: 'Pain-free maximal jaw opening was significantly greater in the education + home PT group than in the education-only group. No significant differences were found between treatment modalities in any of the other outcome measurements.'	'Over a period of 3 months, the home physical therapy regimen, as used in this protocol, is slightly more clinically effective than education alone for the treatment of myofascial pain of the jaw muscles.'	No non-therapy control group Drop-out rate of more than 50% at the last assessment (after 3 months)
Minakuchi et al. (2001, 2004)	Patients	Inclusion criteria Painful anterior disc displacement without reduction Non-probability convenience sample with limited generalizability to a general population. Mean age: 32.0 years. (group 1)=33.3 years. (group 2)=36.9 years. (group 3) F.M 62:7	Exclusion criteria Unwillingness of (regular) participation Edentulousness Rheumatism, diabetes mellitus, or similar serious systemic disease Previous or ongoing management/treatment for TMDs or tooth problems in other clinics Information (see below) Diclofenac (25 mg 3x/day) Cold or hot pack Soft food diet	Therapeutic groups (randomized) (1) Splint/physical medicine Palliative care (see below) Full-arch flat-plane occlusal appliance Active jaw mobilization therapy at weeks 0, 2, 4, 8 (2) Palliative care Information (see below) Diclofenac (25 mg 3x/day) Cold or hot pack Gentle jaw opening exercises (3) Control group: information Explanation and advice regarding prognosis No specific therapy	n 25 23 21

Table 6. (Continued)

Study	Outcome measures	Instruments	Results	Authors' conclusion
Minkuchi et al. (2001, 2004)	Pain at rest and during mastication Daily activity limitation as a result of the therapy Maximum jaw opening: Comfortable Active Passive Subjective improvement of jaw movements Patient satisfaction with therapy Difficulties with Daily activity Social activities Work and home activities as a result of therapy	100-mm visual analog scale 18-item questionnaire Millimeter ruler Self-administered 5-point verbal rating scale Self-administered 5-point verbal rating scale 11-point numerical rating scale —	Within-group differences: 'At the eight-week point, within-group improvements were present for all variables, for all groups.' Between-groups differences: Pain/daily activity limitation/jaw opening: 'not highly evident, with only mean daily activity limitation for the self-care/NSAID group being significantly lower than that of the occlusal appliance/jaw mobilization + self-care/NSAID group at the two- and four-week time-points' Subjective improvement of jaw movements: Palliative care statistically significantly greater improvement at the 2-week, 4-week, and 8-week observation points as compared with the other two groups Patient satisfaction: no significant differences Difficulties: statistically significantly greater difficulty in the physical medicine group	'ADDwor subjects will improve with only minimal treatment intervention, and no significant difference was evident for the treatments tested and the control condition.'
Truelove et al. (2006)	Patients	Inclusion criteria	Exclusion criteria	Therapeutic groups (randomized) n Study duration
	200 (at 12 months: 118) consecutive patients seen at the Orofacial Pain Clinic, Department of Oral Medicine, School of Dentistry, University of Washington, Seattle. Mean age: 36 years. (group 1) – 35 years. (group 2) – 36 years. (group 3) F: M 157:43	Myofascial pain, with or without a concurrent diagnosis of arthralgia or disc displacement with reduction (Dworkin & LeResche 1992). GCPs Grade I or II (Von Korff et al. 1992). 18–65 years of age.	other RD/TMD diagnoses any systemic arthritis or other serious medical complications full dentures major psychological disorders inability to communicate in English	(1) Self-care Jaw relaxation Reduction of parafunction Thermal packs NSAIDs Passive opening stretches Suggestions about stress reduction (2) Self care + soft thermoplastic vinyl athletic mouthguard (3) Self care + flat-plane maxillary hard splint 64 (at 12 months: 48) 12 months therapy

Study	Outcome measures	Instruments	Results	Authors' conclusion	Limitations
Truelove et al. (2006)	Characteristic pain intensity (CPI) Self-reported bruxism Limitations in jaw use Changes in clinical examination findings and diagnosis Pain duration Compliance with therapeutic recommendations	11-point numerical rating scales	Between-group differences: 'The authors observed no significant differences among the groups in TMD-related pain levels or other common signs and symptoms of TMD at baseline (BL) or at any follow-up. The changes from BL were comparable for all three groups.'	'All patients improved over time, and traditional splint therapy offered no benefit over the soft splint therapy. Neither splint therapy provided a greater benefit than did self-care treatment without splint therapy. These findings suggest that clinicians who treat patients with TMD should consider prescribing low-cost nonsplint self-care therapy for most patients.'	Non-probability convenience sample with limited generalizability to a general population.
Turk et al. (1993)	110 (109) consecutive referrals to an outpatient TMD pain clinic at the University of Pittsburgh	Pain and tenderness of the muscles of mastication and TMJ region and limited mandibular movements of at least 2 months duration	Serious psychopathology History of TMJ-related surgery	(1) Intraoral heat-cured flat-plane occlusal appliance (2) Biofeedback/stress management (6 sessions at 1-week intervals) (3) Full-arch heat-cured flat-plane occlusal appliance + biofeedback/ stress management (4) Waiting list group	30 30 29 20
Turk et al. (1993)	Mean age: 35.9 years. (groups 1, 2, 4) – 33.6 years. (group 3) F:M ?	At least 18 years of age			6 weeks therapy + 6 months post-intervention
Study	Patients	Inclusion criteria	Exclusion criteria	Therapeutic groups (randomized)	n
Turk et al. (1993)	110 (109) consecutive referrals to an outpatient TMD pain clinic at the University of Pittsburgh	Pain and tenderness of the muscles of mastication and TMJ region and limited mandibular movements of at least 2 months duration	Serious psychopathology History of TMJ-related surgery	(1) Intraoral heat-cured flat-plane occlusal appliance (2) Biofeedback/stress management (6 sessions at 1-week intervals) (3) Full-arch heat-cured flat-plane occlusal appliance + biofeedback/ stress management (4) Waiting list group	30 30 29 20
Turk et al. (1993)	Pain Depression Credibility ratings	Pain Severity Scale Muscle Palpation Pain Index Beck Depression Inventory Affective Distress Scale 10-point scales	Within-group differences Significant reductions in pain and depression levels (except in waiting list group) Significant increase in credibility ratings Between-group differences 'the combined treatment approach was more effective than either of the single treatments alone, particularly in pain reduction, at the 6-month follow-up.'		Authors' conclusion
Turk et al. (1996)	48 (41) consecutive dysfunctional patients referred to an outpatient TMD pain clinic at the U of Pittsburgh	Pain and tenderness of the muscles of mastication and TMJ region and limited mandibular movements of at least 2 months duration At least 18 years of age	Serious psychopathology History of TMJ-related surgery	(1) Full-arch flat-plane acrylic interocclusal appliance + stress management/biofeedback (6 sessions at 1-week intervals) + nondirective, supportive counseling group (2) Full-arch flat-plane acrylic interocclusal appliance + stress management/biofeedback group (6 sessions at 1-week intervals) + cognitive therapy	20 21

Table 6. (Continued)

Study	Outcome measures	Instruments	Results	Authors' conclusion	Limitations
Turk et al. (1996)	Pain	Muscle Palpation Pain Index TMJ Palpation Index McGill Pain Questionnaire Pain Catastrophizing Scale Interference Scale Oral-Parafunctional Habits Scale Self-reported use of medication Self-reported use of health care resources for TMJ pain Active jaw opening without pain Maximum jaw opening Depression Credibility ratings	Within-group differences: 'Both treatment groups reported statistically significant reductions on a set of physical, psychosocial, and behavioral measures post-treatment and at a 6-month follow-up.' Between-groups differences: 'However, the intervention that included cognitive therapy demonstrated significantly greater reductions in pain, depression, and medication use. Only the groups receiving the treatment that included the cognitive therapy demonstrated continued improvements to the follow-up on pain associated with muscle palpation, self-reported pain severity, depression, and use of medications.'	'These results support the efficacy of the tailored treatment for dysfunctional TMD.'	
Tversky et al. (1991)	Patients	Inclusion criteria	Exclusion criteria	Therapeutic groups (randomized)	n
	60 TMD patients referred to the Oral Medicine Clinic, The Royal Dental Hospital, Melbourne, Australia, for assessment and management of facial pain	Recent history of TMD, plus at least two of the following: TMJ noises and discomfort on function Limitation of mandibular movements Masticatory muscle tenderness on palpation	Patients younger than 12 and older than 70 years Unable to understand and read English Psychiatric disorders other than depressive illness or mild anxiety state	(1) Occlusal splint (non-depressed patients) (2) Occlusal splint (depressed patients) (3) Antidepressant doxepin (depressed patients) (4) Occlusal splint + antidepressant doxepin (depressed patients)	(1) 15 (2) 15 (3) 15 (4) 15
Tversky et al. (1991)	Outcome measures	Instruments	Results	Authors' conclusion	Limitations
	Pain Depression	VAS Hamilton Rating Scale for Depression	Within-group/between-groups differences After 8 weeks, 'there was a significant difference in response in the nonpsychiatric and combined-therapy depressed groups in comparison with the two depressed groups treated either with occlusal splint or with antidepressant therapy. The combined therapy led to resolution of the painful problem and the depression, whereas the single therapies were only partly successful in relieving the pain-dysfunction syndrome.'	'The findings from this study help explain why a significant number of patients are considered untreatable for chronic pain conditions when only the physical aspects are addressed in their clinical therapy.'	

Study	Patients	Inclusion criteria	Exclusion criteria	Therapeutic groups (randomized)	n	Study duration
Wahlund et al. (2003)	122 consecutive TMD pain patients (adolescents, 12–18 years) referred to the TMD Clinic, Linköping, Sweden. Mean age: 15.3 years F: M 93 : 29	Patients reported pain once a week or more in the face, jaws, TMJs, or temples for a period of at least 3 months Patients had received an RDC/TMD diagnosis (Dworkin & LeResche 1992) Patients wanted therapy	Juvenile rheumatoid arthritis Migraine Current therapy with orthodontic appliances that could interfere with occlusal appliance therapy	(1) Brief information (one session) + relaxation training (4 sessions at 2-week intervals) (2) Brief information (one session) + occlusal appliance (4 sessions at 2-week intervals) (3) Brief information (one session)	(1) 41 (2) 42 (3) 39	3 months of therapy + 6 months of post-intervention

Study	Outcome measures	Instruments	Results	Authors' conclusion	Limitations
Wahlund et al. (2003)	Pain	Questionnaire (Wahlund et al. 1998), including VAS (pain intensity) and 5-point pain verbal rating scale (frequency) Pain diary 6-point verbal rating scale	Within-group/between-group differences In the brief information + occlusal appliance group, 60% of the patients achieved a clinically significant improvement (at least 50% or more) on the pain index, a significantly higher proportion compared with that obtained in the other 2 treatment groups. Analgesic consumption was also significantly more reduced in the brief information + occlusal appliance group compared to the brief information group. However, no significant differences were found between the treatment groups in jaw opening or in muscle and TMJ tenderness scores.	'Occlusal appliance was found to be superior to both relaxation therapy and brief information regarding pain reduction and can therefore be recommended when treating adolescents with TMD pain.'	

TMJ, temporomandibular joint; TMD, temporomandibular disorder; RDC/TMD, Research Diagnostic Criteria for Temporomandibular Disorders; VAS, visual analogue scale; CBT, cognitive behavioural therapy; NSAIDs, Non-steroidal anti-inflammatory drugs; GCPs, Greig cephalopolysyndactyly syndrome.

require more than simple therapy. In contrast, patients with major psychological disturbances need to be identified because the best outcome is achieved with a multimodal, interdisciplinary therapeutic strategy.

Recommendations for best patient management

Therapeutic decisions must be based on comprehensive and correct diagnoses. Clinicians' awareness of the psychological ramifications of pain in acute or chronic TMD patients is important to avoid inadequate focus on dental or surgical management approaches. However, dentists are usually not or inadequately trained to perform assessments of psychological disturbances and psychiatric comorbidities, such as depression or anxiety. In this situation, validated psychometric screening tools, such as the Graded Chronic Pain Scale (Von Korff et al. 1992), may easily be used in general practice.

A fundamental requirement for improved therapeutic outcomes is the clinician's acceptance of the importance of psychological factors and knowledge about the efficacy and effectiveness of psychological interventions. This forms the platform for convincingly educating patients about the need for interdisciplinary (i.e., multimodal) management. Even though our systematic review shows the superiority of multimodal as compared with simple therapy, not all patients with TMD may achieve symptom relief with either approach.

patients with TMDs. Furthermore, when pain is a study outcome parameter, clinically relevant changes need to be considered in addition to statistically significant improvements (Turk 2000; Salaffi et al. 2004).

Recommendations for future systematic reviews on TMDs

Several scoring scales commonly used in systematic reviews for assessing the reporting quality of RCTs may be inadequate in psychological intervention studies. A new scale has been designed to score such trials (Yates et al. 2005). We suggest that it can be applied in future systematic reviews on

References to studies included in this review

- Dworkin, S.F., Turner, J.A., Mancl, L., Wilson, L., Massoth, D., Huggins, K.H., LeResche, L. & Truelove, E. (2002) A randomized clinical trial of a tailored comprehensive care treatment program for temporomandibular disorders. *Journal of Orofacial Pain* **16**: 259–276.
- Elsharkawy, T.M. & Ali, N.M. (1995) Evaluation of acupuncture and occlusal splint therapy in the treatment of temporomandibular joint disorders. *Egyptian Dental Journal* **41**: 1227–1232.
- Michelotti, A., Parisini, F., Farella, M., Cimino, R. & Martina, R. (2000) Fisioterapia muscolare in pazienti con disordini temporomandibolari. Studio clinico controllato. *Minerva Stomatologica* **49**: 541–548.
- Michelotti, A., Steenks, M.H., Farella, M., Parisini, F., Cimino, R. & Martina, R. (2004) The additional value of a home physical therapy regimen versus patient education only for the treatment of myofascial pain of the jaw muscles: short-term results of a randomized clinical trial. *Journal of Orofacial Pain* **18**: 114–125.
- Minakuchi, H., Kuboki, T., Maekawa, K., Matsuka, Y. & Yatani, H. (2004) Self-reported remission, difficulty, and satisfaction with nonsurgical therapy used to treat anterior disc displacement without reduction. *Oral Surgery, Oral Medicine, Oral Pathology, Oral Radiology and Endodontics* **98**: 435–440.
- Minakuchi, H., Kuboki, T., Matsuka, Y., Maekawa, K., Yatani, H. & Yamashita, A. (2001) Randomized controlled evaluation of non-surgical treatments for temporomandibular joint anterior disk displacement without reduction. *Journal of Dental Research* **80**: 924–928.
- Morton, V. & Torgerson, D.J. (2003) Effect of regression to the mean on decision making in health care. *British Medical Journal* **326**: 1083–1084.
- Truelove, E., Huggins, K.H., Mancl, L. & Dworkin, S.F. (2006) The efficacy of traditional, low-cost and nonsplint therapies for temporomandibular disorder: a randomized controlled trial. *Journal of the American Dental Association* **137**: 1099–1107.
- Turk, D.C., Rudy, T.E., Kubinski, J.A., Zaki, H.S. & Greco, C.M. (1996) Dysfunctional patients with temporomandibular disorders: evaluating the efficacy of a tailored treatment protocol. *Journal of Consulting and Clinical Psychology* **64**: 139–146.
- Turk, D.C., Zaki, H.S. & Rudy, T.E. (1993) Effects of intraoral appliance and biofeedback/stress management alone and in combination in treating pain and depression in patients with temporomandibular disorders. *Journal of Prosthetic Dentistry* **70**: 158–164.
- Tversky, J., Reade, P.vC., Gerschman, J.A., Holwill, B.J. & Wright, J. (1991) Role of depressive illness in the outcome of treatment of temporomandibular joint pain-dysfunction syndrome. *Oral Surgery, Oral Medicine, Oral Pathology* **71**: 696–699.
- Wahlund, K., List, T. & Larsson, B. (2003) Treatment of temporomandibular disorders among adolescents: a comparison between occlusal appliance, relaxation training, and brief information. *Acta Odontologica Scandinavica* **61**: 203–211.

Additional references

- Derogatis, L. (1983) *The SCL-90R Manual-II: Administration, Scoring and Procedures*. Baltimore: Clinical Psychometric Research.
- Dworkin, S.F., Huggins, K.H., LeResche, L., Von Korff, M., Howard, J., Truelove, E. & Sommers, E. (1990) Epidemiology of signs and symptoms in temporomandibular disorders: clinical signs in cases and controls. *Journal of the American Dental Association* **120**: 273–281.
- Dworkin, S.F. & LeResche, L. (1992) Research diagnostic criteria for temporomandibular disorders: review, criteria, examinations and specifications, critique. *Journal of Craniomandibular Disorders Facial and Oral Pain* **6**: 301–355.
- Flor, H., Fydrich, T. & Turk, D.C. (1992) Efficacy of multidisciplinary pain treatment centers: a meta-analytic review. *Pain* **49**: 221–230.
- Friction, J.R., Look, J.O., Schiffman, E. & Swift, J. (2002) Long-term study of temporomandibular joint surgery with alloplastic implants compared with nonimplant surgery and nonsurgical rehabi-

- litation for painful temporomandibular joint disc displacement. *International Journal of Oral and Maxillofacial Surgery* 60: 1400–1411.
- Griffiths, R.H. (1983) *The President's Conference on the Examination, Diagnosis and Management of Temporomandibular Disorders*. Chicago: American Dental Association.
- Helkimo, M. (1974) Studies on function and dysfunction of the masticatory system. II. Index for anamnestic and clinical dysfunction and occlusal state. *Swedish Dental Journal* 67: 101–121.
- Howard, J.A. (1991) Temporomandibular joint disorders, facial pain, and dental problems in performing artists. In: Sataloff, R.T., Brandfonbrener, A.G. & Lederman, R.J., eds. *Textbook of Performing Arts Medicine*, 111–169. New York: Raven Press.
- Laskin, D.M., Greene, C.S. & Hylander, W.L. (2006) *TMDs. An Evidence-Based Approach to Diagnosis and Treatment*. Chicago: Quintessence.
- McNeill, C., ed. (1992) *Current Controversies in Temporomandibular Disorders*. Chicago: Quintessence.
- Merskey, H. & Bogduk, N., eds (1994) *Classification of Chronic Pain*. 2nd edition. Seattle: IASP Press.
- National Institutes of Health (1996) Management of temporomandibular disorders. National institutes of health technology assessment conference statement. *Journal of the American Dental Association* 127: 1595–1606.
- Palla, S. (2006) A need to redefine chronic pain? *Journal of Orofacial Pain* 20: 265–266.
- Plesh, O., Sinisi, S.E., Crawford, P.B. & Gansky, S.A. (2005) Diagnoses based on the research diagnostic criteria for temporomandibular disorders in a biracial population of young women. *Journal of Orofacial Pain* 19: 65–75.
- Rantala, M.A., Ahlberg, J., Suvinen, T.I., Nissinen, M., Lindholm, H., Savolainen, A. & Könönen, M. (2003) Temporomandibular joint related painless symptoms, orofacial pain, neck pain, headache, and psychosocial factors among non-patients. *Acta Odontologica Scandinavica* 61: 217–222.
- Rosenstiel, A.K. & Keefe, F.J. (1983) The use of coping strategies in chronic low back pain patients: relationship to patient characteristics and current adjustment. *Pain* 17: 33–44.
- Rudy, T.E., Turk, D.C., Kubinski, J.A. & Zaki, H.S. (1995) Differential treatment responses of TMD patients as a function of psychological characteristics. *Pain* 61: 103–112.
- Salaffi, F., Stancati, A., Silvestri, C.A., Ciapetti, A. & Grassi, W. (2004) Minimal clinically important changes in chronic musculoskeletal pain intensity measured on a numerical rating scale. *Pain* 8: 283–291.
- Stohler, C.S. (2004) Taking stock: from chasing occlusal contacts to vulnerability alleles. *Orthodontics and Craniofacial Research* 7: 157–161.
- Suvinen, T.I., Reade, P.C., Hanes, K.R., Könönen, M. & Kemppainen, P. (2005) Temporomandibular disorder subtypes according to self-reported physical and psychosocial variables in female patients: a re-evaluation. *Journal of Oral Rehabilitation* 32: 166–173.
- Turk, D.C. (2000) Statistical significance and clinical significance are not synonyms!. *Clinical Journal of Pain* 16: 185–187.
- Türp, J.C. (2000) *Temporomandibular Pain. Clinical Presentation and Impact*. Berlin: Quintessenz.
- Vallon, D., Nilner, M. & Soderfeldt, B. (1998) Treatment outcome in patients with craniomandibular disorders of muscular origin: a 7-year follow-up. *Journal of Orofacial Pain* 12: 210–218.
- Vlaeyen, J.W. & Morley, S. (2005) Cognitive-behavioral treatments for chronic pain: what works for whom? *Clinical Journal of Pain* 21: 1–8.
- Vollaro, S., Michelotti, A., Cimino, R., Farella, M. & Martina, R. (2001) Epidemiologic study of patients with temporomandibular disorders. Report of data and clinical findings. *Minerva Stomatologica* 50: 9–14.
- Von Korff, M., Ormel, J., Keefe, F.J. & Dworkin, S.F. (1992) Grading the severity of chronic pain. *Pain* 50: 133–149.
- Von Korff, M., Wagner, E.H., Dworkin, S.F. & Saunders, K.W. (1991) Chronic pain and use of ambulatory health care. *Psychosomatic Medicine* 53: 61–79.
- Wahlund, K., List, T. & Dworkin, S.F. (1998) Temporomandibular disorders in children and adolescents: reliability of a questionnaire, clinical examination, and diagnosis. *Journal of Orofacial Pain* 12: 42–51.
- Whitney, C.W. & Von Korff, M. (1992) Regression to the mean in treated versus untreated chronic pain. *Pain* 50: 281–285.
- Yap, A.U., Dworkin, S.F., Chua, E.K., List, T., Tan, K.B. & Tan, H.H. (2003) Prevalence of temporomandibular disorder subtypes, psychologic distress, and psychosocial dysfunction in Asian patients. *Journal of Orofacial Pain* 17: 21–28.
- Yates, S.L., Morley, S., Eccleston, C., de, C. & Williams, A.C. (2005) A scale for rating the quality of psychological trials for pain. *Pain* 117: 314–325.

Author Query Form

Journal CLR
Article 1480

Dear Author,

During the copy-editing of your paper, the following queries arose. Please respond to these by marking up your proofs with the necessary changes/additions. Please write your answers clearly on the query sheet if there is insufficient space on the page proofs. If returning the proof by fax do not write too close to the paper's edge. Please remember that illegible mark-ups may delay publication.

MARKED PROOF

Please correct and return this set

Please use the proof correction marks shown below for all alterations and corrections. If you wish to return your proof by fax you should ensure that all amendments are written clearly in dark ink and are made well within the page margins.

Instruction to printer	Textual mark	Marginal mark
Leave unchanged	... under matter to remain	✓
Insert in text the matter indicated in the margin	↪	New matter followed by ↪ or ↪ ^{x2}
Delete	/ through single character, rule or underline ———— through all characters to be deleted	⊖ or ⊖ ^{x2}
Substitute character or substitute part of one or more word(s)	/ through letter or ———— through characters	new character / or new characters /
Change to italics	— under matter to be changed	←
Change to capitals	≡ under matter to be changed	≡
Change to small capitals	= under matter to be changed	=
Change to bold type	~ under matter to be changed	~
Change to bold italic	~~ under matter to be changed	~~
Change to lower case	Encircle matter to be changed	≠
Change italic to upright type	(As above)	+
Change bold to non-bold type	(As above)	—
Insert 'superior' character	/ through character or ↪ where required	Y or X under character e.g. ³ or ³
Insert 'inferior' character	(As above)	↪ over character e.g. ²
Insert full stop	(As above)	◎
Insert comma	(As above)	,
Insert single quotation marks	(As above)	Y or X and/or Y or X
Insert double quotation marks	(As above)	“ or ” and/or “ or ”
Insert hyphen	(As above)	—
Start new paragraph	—	—
No new paragraph	≈	≈
Transpose	□	□
Close up	linking () characters	()
Insert or substitute space between characters or words	/ through character or ↪ where required	Y
Reduce space between characters or words	between characters or words affected	T